Single Bench (B)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 713 /05

PETITIONER : Parmeshwar Yadav,
Son of Shri M.R.Yadav,
Age 40 years, Principal,
Jagriti Higher Secondary
School Rengale, P.O. Rengale,
Tehsil Bageecha, Dist. Jashpur,
Chhattisgarh.

P.R. No. 806/05
Presented by Shri Ramakant Mishra
dated 25-02-05

Versus

RESPONDENTS :
(1) State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
School Education Department,
D.K.S.Bhawan, Mantralaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

(2) Collector,
District Jashpur (Chhattisgarh)

(3) District Education Officer,
District Jashpur (Chhattisgarh).

(4) Sudeep Mukherjee,
Advocate, Jashpur,
District Jashpur (Chhattisgarh).

Attested
1.03
ADM

As per court order dt 1/3/05 Instant case is adjourned on 1/3/05

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION

I

P.R. No...
Presen
date

RE

As per court order
amendment ende
by me on

1/3/05

Res No. 5. "The Madhyamik Shiksha Mandal Chhattisgarh through Secretary, Pendrabandh, Raipur Chhattisgarh.

I

OF I

Received Copy
25/2/05 (1)

INCHARGE
Constitution Cases Section
Office of the Advocate General
State of Chhattisgarh
BILASPUR

Contd...2

(5M)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.713 OF 2005

Petitioner : Parmeshwar Yadav

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Rajeev Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents No.1 to 3.
Mr. Pankaj Agrawal, counsel for respondent No.5.
None appears for respondent No.4.

ORDER

(Passed on 27th Day of February, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that on the basis of a letter dated nil (R-1) written by one Advocate to the Collector, Jashpur, the school of the petitioner, namely, Jagriti Higher Secondary School Rengale, Post Office Rengale, Tahsil Bageecha, District Jashpur has not been permitted to hold 10th and 12th Classes' Board Examinations. Learned counsel further submits that without verification of the ground reality, the school has not been permitted to have a centre for Board Examinations. The school of the petitioner has been continuing in the past as centre for Board Examinations of 10th and 12th Classes. Learned counsel further submits that there are several other places where the examination centre has been permitted

despite having the similar ground realities and circumstances, as have been found naxalite affected areas vide letter dated 29-1-2007 (P-8).

2. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.5 – Board submits that it is not possible to open the examination centre within a short period of three days as the examinations are to commence from 1st March, 2007.

3. Be that as it may, the respondents are directed to consider representation of the petitioner keeping in view the aforestated submissions made by the petitioner, for future.

4. Thus, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge